	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/776.479	BRATZLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. M. Minnifield	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) N. M. Minnifield.	(3)	
(2) Maria A Trevisan, 48207.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 August 2008.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: generally all.		
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f , was reached. g , was not reached. h , N .		
Substance of intensive including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant contacted to discussy possible Enraimer's amendment to the claims in an effort to place the application in condition for allowance. No agreement for claim amendment was reached and a non- final action will be mailed to Applicate. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, must be statched. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, assummany thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See IMPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS</u>		
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INITERVIEW MAIMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/N. M. Minnifield/ Primary Examiner. Art Unit 1645		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)